

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/603,809	TAKATA, YUKARI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ryan M. Stiglic	2112	

All Participants:
Status of Application: FINAL

 (1) Ryan M. Stiglic.

(3) _____.

 (2) Michael Monaco.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 September 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

5

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

PAUL R. MYERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In the remarks filed July 28, 2005 applicant indicated claim 5 was amended to be dependent on claim 1 (see page 8, lines 3-4 and page 9, line 3) however upon inspection claim 5 was not amended to reflect such a statement. In the telephonic interview Mr. Monaco indicated claim 5 was not dependent from claim 1 and that the Examiner should ignore the cited sections of the Remarks filed July 28, 2005..